

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Arms of MATES THE STATEMENT OF THAT MEMORIAL ASSOCIATION OF THE MEMORIAL ASSOCIATION OF THE

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	LIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09.974,922	10 09 2001	Michael N. Grimbergen	5762	1090
32588 25	90 12 04 2002			
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.			1 XAMINER	
2881 SCOTT B SANTA CLAR	LVD, M/S 2061 A, CA 95050		MEYER, DAVID C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/974.922	GRIMBERGEN MICHAEL N				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David C Meyer	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 31 CF arrests x. MONTHS from the mailing date of this common dature time period for reply is seen fed above to sessiman thirty, 30 days a drink period for reply is specified above the maximum statutory be a faculate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by strongly received by the Office after than three months after the meanined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 T04.bi.	DN Rith 199 all in no event indiveves may a a comparable of the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX 6° MO feature indives the application to become A	redly treitine (it eli rty (30 days will be considere titine). NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 October 2001					
	This action is non-final.					
24/		ottors, wassecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213 Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5.8-16 and 19-35</u> is/are rejected						
7) Claim(s) <u>6.7.17 and 18</u> is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner						
of approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)						
a) All b) Some c) None of						
1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a)) *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U S C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)				
 a) The translation of the foreign languag 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doi 	e provisional application has	been received				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) Si Notice of	w Summary: PTO-413, Paper No.s of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152				
Ni						

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1, 3-4, 9-12, 14-16, and 19-35 are rejected under U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burns et al (US Patent 4,687,539). Burns et al discloses a metrology system for detecting an endpoint in a semiconductor wafer manufacture process.

Regarding claims 1 and 12. Burns et al discloses a pulse light drive circuit (Fig. 2) for semiconductor metrology apparatus comprising a pulse light source (dye laser 28), a light detector (photomultiplier tube 48), and a drive circuit comprising a signal processing circuit (integrator 84, pulse generator 51, and delay generator 78) and, as part of a trigger circuit, a cut off switch (power switch 52) adapted to cut off energy to the light source after a predetermined pulse light integrated intensity level is detected by the light detector.

While Burns et al does not explicitly disclose an energy source, an energy source is an inherent part of any pulse light drive circuit. Furthermore, Burns states that when the signal outputted from the photomultiplier tube is integrated (by integrator 84) and determined to be larger than a specific voltage, a power

Art Unit: 2878

switch (52) is opened, thereby shutting off power to the pulse generator (51) portion of the drive circuit.

The light source (28) is disposed to irradiate a light beam (30) into the reactor chamber (70) of a semiconductor manufacturing system. (See Fig. 2 and column 5, line 63 to column 6 line 56.)

Regarding claims 23 and 31. Burns et al discloses a method for effecting endpoint detection in a semiconductor wafer manufacturing process wherein: power is connected to a light source (28) and a light event (30) generated in a process reactor (70) of a semiconductor wafer manufacturing system: light (32) is detected in the process reactor and convereted into a light intensity value by photomultiplier tube (48): light intensity value is integrated by an integrator (84) or a computer (94): the integrated light intensity value is compared to a predetermined light intensity value: and power to the light source is disconneted by means of a power switch (52) when the light intensity signal and the predetermined light intensity signal are the same. (See Fig. 2 and column 5. line 63 to column 6 line 56. Also, see Fig. 3 and column 7, line 5 to column 8. line

The examiner notes that in the cited disclosure, two light sources are disclosed. Excimer laser (20) is used to process a semiconductor wafer, while dye laser (28) is used to detect an endpoint in a manufacture process. Schematic figures 2 and 3 show both the excimer laser and the dye laser as being connected to the drive circuitry. Hence, when power is cut off from the excimer

Art Unit: 2878

laser light source it is also cut off from the dye laser light source in a manner consistent with and anticipatory of the claimed method.

Regarding claims 3-4, 14-15, and 24-25. Burns et al discloses that pulses are filtered through a wavelength selective element (monochromator 38) prior to being detected by the light detection device (48).

Regarding claims 8 and 19, Burns et al discloses a metrology apparatus comprising a cut-off switch (52) that cuts off power to the pulse drive circuit and light source (28) and an integrator (84) connected between the light detector and the cut-off switch (Fig. 2 and column 5, line 63 to column 6 line 56).

Regarding claims 9, 20 and 28, Burns et al discloses a computer (94) that performs a function of pulsing light source (28) repeatedly and for a predetermined duration. Because computer (94) is the functional equivalent of a cut-off switch that is repeatedly turned on and off, Burns et al anticipates the invention of claims 20 and 28. (See Fig. 3 and column 7, line 5 to column 8, line 35.)

Regarding claims 10-11. 21-22. 26-27. 29-30 and 33-35. Burns et al discloses a light detector that detects a plurality of light pulses emitted by light source (28) and produces a light intensity value. An integrator (84) integrates the light intensity signal of each pulse and the combined intensity of the plurality of light pulses. Once a predetermined threshold voltage is exceeded, power to the light source is cut off. Collectively, the light pulses introduced into reactor chamber (70) constitute a light event.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 32, while Burns et al does not state the the light event may consist of a single light pulse, in practice, it is possible that a single light pulse could cause the threshold voltage to be exceeded and the cut-off switch to disconnect power to the light source. Hence, the light event generated in the reactor chamber may consist of a single light pulse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title. If the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 5, 13, and 16 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns et al (US Patent 4,687,539) in view of Filo (US Patent 5,705,808).

Regarding claims 2 and 13. Burns et al does not disclose a capacitor energy source, but does disclose that light sources other than dye lasers, such as resonance lamps, may be used in a pulse light drive circuit (column 9, lines 1-5). It is well known to use a capacitor to provide energy to a resonance lamp used in a pulse light drive circuit as Filo teaches (Fig. 3, flash tube 252 and capacitor 210). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute a flash tube and capacitor energy source in order to, for example, reduce the cost of manufacturing a metrology apparatus.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claims 5 and 16. Burns et al discloses a light detector (48) that produces a light intensity signal, which an integrator (84) integrates into an integrated light intensity signal (column 6, lines 16-56).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-7 and 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 6-7 and 17-18, the prior art of record does not disclose or make obvious a pulse light drive circuit for semiconductor metrology apparatus having a trigger switch that is connected between a threshold comparator and a capacitor that provides energy to a pulse light source.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C Meyer whose telephone number is 703-305-7955. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. David Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2878

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0935.

DCM

November 27, 2002

DAVID FORTA

SUPERMSORY PATEM FXAMINER

TECHN LUGY CENTER 2800